## STAT 8311/PUBH 8401 HW 9

## Due Nov. 23, 2022

Exercise 1. Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be 2 vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $\theta$ be the angle between them, measured counter-clockwise from $x_{1}$ to $x_{2}$. Show that $\cos (\theta)=\frac{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|\left\|x_{2}\right\|}$ and deduce that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are orthogonal if and only if $\theta=90^{\circ}$ or $\theta=270^{\circ}$. (Hint: $\cos \left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)=$ $\left.\cos \left(\theta_{1}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{2}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{1}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{2}\right).\right)$

Exercise 2. Suppose we have the model $y_{i j}=\mu_{0}+\beta_{i}+\epsilon_{i j}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$ where $\epsilon_{i j}$ are independently distributed according to a 0 mean normal distribution with variance $\sigma^{2}$. We've seen that the OLS estimate of $\mathrm{E} y_{i j}$ is given by $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j} y_{i j}$. Why can't one obtain unique estimates of $\mu_{0}$ and $\beta_{i}$ for all $i$ without additional constraints? If we assume $\beta_{1}=0$ provide unbiased estimates of $\mu_{0}$ and $\beta_{i}$ for all $i$. If we assume $\sum_{i} \beta_{i}=0$ provide unbiased estimates of $\mu_{0}$ and $\beta_{i}$ for all $i$.

Exercise 3. Show that the Kronecker product of 2 idempotent matrices is also idempotent.

Exercise 4. Show that the Kronecker product of 2 orthogonal matrices is also orthogonal.

Exercise 5. Let $A$ and $B$ be 2 matrices. Show that the singular value decomposition of $A \otimes B$ can be expressed in terms of the elements of the singular value decomposition of $A$ and $B$, and use this to express the eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ in terms of the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$.

Exercise 6. Consider the regression model $y_{i}=\beta x_{i}+\epsilon_{i}$ where $\epsilon_{i}$ are iid zero mean errors with variance $\sigma^{2}$. Find an expression for the $F$ test of the null hypothesis that $\beta=0$.

Exercise 7. On page 115 of the course notes a reparameterization trick is introduced as a way to simplify a test of a null hypothesis that takes the form $A_{1} \beta=0$. The course notes state that if we construct $A_{0}$ so that $A_{0}^{T} A_{1}=0$ then $Z_{0}^{T} Z_{1}=0$ and this leads to a simplification in the $F$ test. Is the statement that $A_{0}^{T} A_{1}=0$ implies $Z_{0}^{T} Z_{1}=0$ true? If so prove it, otherwise provide a counterexample. If it is not true, is there a sufficient condition that makes this true?

