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## Linear Model and Least Squares

- Data: $\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}\right), X_{i}=\left(X_{i 1}, \ldots, X_{i p}\right)^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, n$. $Y_{i}$ : continuous
- LM: $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} X_{i j} \beta_{j}+\epsilon_{i}$,
$\epsilon_{i}$ 's iid with $E\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$.
$-\operatorname{RSS}(\beta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=1}^{p} X_{i j} \beta_{j}\right)^{2}=\|Y-X \beta\|_{2}^{2}$.
- LSE (OLSE): $\hat{\beta}=\arg \min _{\beta} R S S(\beta)=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} Y$.
- Nice properties: Under true model, $E(\hat{\beta})=\beta$, $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta})=\sigma^{2}\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1}$,
$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}))$,
Gauss-Markov Theorem: $\hat{\beta}$ has min var among all linear unbiased estimates.
- Some questions:
$\hat{\sigma}^{2}=R S S(\hat{\beta}) /(n-p-1)$.
Q: what happens if the denominator is $n$ ?
Q: what happens if $X^{\prime} X$ is (nearly) singular?
- What if $p$ is large relative to $n$ ?
- Variable selection:
forward, backward, stepwise: fast, but may miss good ones; best-subset: too time consuming.


FIGURE 3.6. Comparison of four subset-selection techniques on a simulated linear regression problem $Y=X^{T} \beta+\varepsilon$. There are $N=300$ observations on $p=31$ standard Gaussian variables, with pairwise correlations all equal to 0.85 . For 10 of the variables, the coefficients are drawn at random from a $N(0,0.4)$ distribution; the rest are zero. $\square$ The noise

## Shrinkage or regularization methods

- Use regularized or penalized RSS:

$$
\operatorname{PRSS}(\beta)=\operatorname{RSS}(\beta)+\lambda J(\beta)
$$

$\lambda$ : penalization parameter to be determined; (thinking about the p-value thresold in stepwise selection, or subset size in best-subset selection.) $J()$ : prior; both a loose and a Bayesian interpretations; log prior density.

- Ridge: $J(\beta)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}$; prior: $\beta_{j} \sim N\left(0, \tau^{2}\right)$.

$$
\hat{\beta}^{R}=\left(X^{\prime} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} Y
$$

- Properties: biased but small variances, $E\left(\hat{\beta}^{R}\right)=\left(X^{\prime} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} X \beta$, $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}^{R}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(X^{\prime} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} X\left(X^{\prime} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1} \leq \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta})$, $d f(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}\left[X\left(X^{\prime} X+\lambda I\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}\right] \leq d f(0)=\operatorname{tr}\left(X\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} X\right)=p$,
- Lasso: $J(\beta)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|\beta_{j}\right|$.

Prior: $\beta_{j}$ Laplace or $\operatorname{DE}\left(0, \tau^{2}\right)$;
No closed form for $\hat{\beta}^{L}$.

- Properties: biased but small variances, $d f\left(\hat{\beta}^{L}\right)=\#$ of non-zero $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{L}$ 's (Zou et al ).
- Special case: for $X^{\prime} X=I$, or simple regression $(p=1)$, $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{L}=\operatorname{ST}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}, \lambda\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)\left(\left|\hat{\beta}_{j}\right|-\lambda\right)_{+}$,
compared to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{j}^{R}=\hat{\beta}_{j} /(1+\lambda), \\
& \hat{\beta}_{j}^{H}=\operatorname{HT}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}, \lambda\right)=\hat{\beta}_{j} l\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}>\lambda\right) \\
& \hat{\beta}_{j}^{B}=\operatorname{HT} 2\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}, M\right)=\hat{\beta}_{j} l\left(\operatorname{rank}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right) \leq M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- A key property of Lasso: $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{L}=0$ for large $\lambda$, but not $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{R}$. -simultaneous parameter estimation and selection.
- Note: for a convex $J(\beta)$ (as for Lasso and Ridge), min PRSS is equivalent to: $\min \operatorname{RSS}(\beta)$ s.t. $J(\beta) \leq t$.
- Offer an intutive explanation on why we can have $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{L}=0$; see Fig 3.11.
Theory: $\left|\beta_{j}\right|$ is singular at 0 ; Fan and $\mathrm{Li}(2001)$.
- How to choose $\lambda$ ?
obtain a solution path $\hat{\beta}(\lambda)$, then, as before, use tuning data or CV or model selection criterion (e.g. AIC or BIC).
- Least Angle Regression (LARS): fast to find solution paths in LMs.
- Example: R code ex3.1.r


FIGURE 3.11. Estimation picture for the lasso (left) and ridge regression (right). Shown are contours of the error and constraint functions. The solid blue areas are the constraint regions $\left|\beta_{1}\right|+\left|\beta_{2}\right| \leq t$ and $\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2} \leq t^{2}$, respectively, while the red ellipses are the contours of the least squares error function.



- Lasso: biased estimates; alternatives:
- Relaxed lasso: 1) use Lasso for VS; 2) then use LSE or MLE on the selected model.
- Use a non-convex penalty:

SCAD: eq (3.82) on p.92;
Bridge $J(\beta)=\sum_{j}\left|\beta_{j}\right|^{q}$ with $0<q<1$;
Adaptive Lasso (Zou 2006): $J(\beta)=\sum_{j}\left|\beta_{j}\right| /\left|\tilde{\beta}_{j, 0}\right|$;
Truncated Lasso Penalty (Shen, Pan \&Zhu 2012, JASA):
$\operatorname{TLP}(\beta ; \tau)=\sum_{j} \min \left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|, \tau\right)$, or
$\operatorname{TLP}(\beta ; \tau)=\sum_{j} \min \left(\left|\beta_{j}\right| / \tau, 1\right) \rightarrow I(\beta \neq 0)$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}$. MCP:

- Choice b/w Lasso and Ridge: bet on a sparse model? risk prediction for GWAS (Austin, Pan \& Shen 2013, SADM).
- Elastic net (Zou \& Hastie 2005):

$$
J(\beta)=\sum_{j} \alpha\left|\beta_{j}\right|+(1-\alpha) \beta_{j}^{2}
$$

may select more (correlated) $X_{j}$ 's.


FIGURE 3.20. The lasso and two alternative nonconvex penalties designed to penalize large coefficients less. For $S C A D$ we use $\lambda=1$ and $a=4$, and $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$ in the last panel.

- Group Lasso: a group of variables $\beta_{(g)}=\left(\beta_{j 1}, \ldots, \beta_{j p_{g}}\right)^{\prime}$ are to be 0 (or not) at the same time,

$$
J(\beta)=\sum_{g} \sqrt{p_{g}}\left\|\beta_{(g)}\right\|_{2}
$$

$L_{2}$-norm; not $L_{1} /$ Lasso or squared $L_{2} /$ Ridge. better in VS (but worse for parameter estimation?)

- Group SCAD: $J(\beta)=\sum_{g} \sqrt{p_{g}} \operatorname{SCAD}\left(\left\|\beta_{(g)}\right\|_{2}\right)$
- Group TLP: $J(\beta, \tau)=\sum_{g} \sqrt{p_{g}} \operatorname{TLP}\left(\left\|\beta_{(g)}\right\|_{2} ; \tau\right)$
- Sparse Group Lasso: $J(\beta)=(1-\alpha) \sum_{g} \sqrt{p_{g}}\left\|\beta_{(g)}\right\|_{2}+\alpha\|\beta\|_{1}$
- Grouping/fusion penalties: encouraging equalities $\mathbf{b} / \mathbf{w} \beta_{j}$ 's (or $\left|\beta_{j}\right|$ 's).
- Fused Lasso: $J(\beta)=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\left|\beta_{j}-\beta_{j+1}\right|$

$$
J(\beta)=\sum_{(j, k) \in G}\left|\beta_{j}-\beta_{k}\right|
$$

- Generalized Lasso: $J(\beta)=\|D \beta\|_{1}$
- Grouping pursuit (Shen \& Huang 2010, JASA):

$$
J(\beta ; \tau)=\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} T L P\left(\beta_{j}-\beta_{j+1} ; \tau\right)
$$

- Grouping penalties:
- Zhu, Shen \& Pan (2013, JASA):

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2}(\beta ; \tau) & =\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} T L P\left(\left|\beta_{j}\right|-\left|\beta_{j+1}\right| ; \tau\right) ; \\
J\left(\beta ; \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{p} T L P\left(\beta_{j} ; \tau_{1}\right)+J_{2}\left(\beta ; \tau_{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- Kim, Pan \& Shen (2013, Biometrics):

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2}^{\prime}(\beta) & =\sum_{j \sim k}\left|I\left(\beta_{j} \neq 0\right)-I\left(\beta_{k} \neq 0\right)\right| ; \\
J_{2}(\beta ; \tau) & =\sum_{j \sim k}\left|\operatorname{TLP}\left(\beta_{j} ; \tau\right)-T L P\left(\beta_{k} ; \tau\right)\right| ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dantzig Selector (§3.8).
- Theory (§3.8.5); Greenshtein \& Ritov (2004) (persistence); Zou 2006 (non-consistency) ...


## Logistic regression

- Binary or multinomial logit model: for $k=1, \ldots, K-1$,

$$
\log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}(k \mid x)}{\operatorname{Pr}(K \mid x)}=\beta_{0, k}+x^{\prime} \beta_{1, k}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(k \mid x)=\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0, k}+x^{\prime} \beta_{1, k}\right)}{1+\sum_{l=1}^{K-1} \exp \left(\beta_{0, k}+x^{\prime} \beta_{1, k}\right)}
$$

Then $\hat{G}(x)=\arg \max _{k} \operatorname{Pr}(k \mid x)$.

- $x$ can be expanded to include high-order terms.
- Parameter estimation: MLE

Note: approx equivalent to fitting multiple binary logit models separetely (Begg \& Gray, 1984, Biometrika).

- Logistic reg vs L/QDA: the former is more general; the latter has a stronger assumption and thus possibly more efficient if ...; Logistic reg is quite good.
- Example code: ex4.1.r


## Penalized logistic regression (§18.3.2, 18.4)

- Need VS or regularization for a large $p$.
- Add a penalty term $J(\beta)$ to $-\log L$ $J(\beta)$ can be Lasso, ..., as before.
- Computing algorithms: a Taylor expansion (i.e. quadratic approx) of $\log L$, then the same as penalized LR.
- R package glmnet: an elastic net penalty. hence do either Lasso or Ridge (or both).


## R packages for penalized GLMs (and Cox PHM)

- glmnet: Ridge, Lasso and Elastic net.
- ncvreg: SCAD, MCP.
- glmtlp: TLP.
- grpreg: group Lasso, group SCAD, ...
- seagull, SGL: sparse group Lasso.
- genlasso: generalized Lasso for LMs, including fused Lasso.
- FGSG: grouping/fusion penalties (based on Lasso, TLP, etc) for LMs
- More general convex programming: CVXR; like CVX, CVXPY.
- Example 3.3.R


## Computational Algorithms

- Quadratic programming: the original for Lasso; slow.
- LARS (§3.8): the solution path is piece-wise linear; at a cost of fitting several single LMs; not general?
- Incremental Forward Stagewise Regression (§3.8): approx; related to boosting.
- A simple (and general) way: $\left|\beta_{j}\right|=\beta_{j}^{2} /\left|\hat{\beta}_{j}^{(r)}\right|$; truncate a current estimate $\left|\hat{\beta}_{j}^{(r)}\right| \approx 0$ at a small $\epsilon$.
- Coordinate-descent algorithm (§3.8.6): update each $\beta_{j}$ while fixing others at the current estimates-recall we have a closed-form solution for a single $\beta_{j}$ ! simple and general but not applicable to grouping penalties.
- ADMM (Boyd et al 2011). http://stanford.edu/~boyd/admm.html
- For TLP: iterating b/w Difference of Convex (DC) (or MM alg.) and (weighted) lasso


## Inference

- Q: How to get a p-value or Cl for a predictor?

Challenges: biased estimates; selection bias

- Sample splitting (to two parts): 1. using the training data for (Lasso) penalized reg (for VS); 2. using the validation data to fit the selected model for inference by OLSE or MLE.
Refs: Wasserman \& Roeder (2009, AoS); Meinshausen, Meier \& Bühlmann (2009, JASA).
+ : simple; more general.
-: loss of efficiency. Better with repeated/multiple splitting. R package: hdi, function multi.split() or hdi().
- Debiased/de-sparsified lasso (or lasso projection): next page. R package: hdi, function lasso.proj().
- Ref: Dezeure et al (2015, Stat Sci). https://arxiv.org/pdf/1408.4026.pdf Example: ex3.4.R
- Model: $Y=X \beta+\epsilon, X=\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \ldots, X^{(p)}\right)$
- Fact 1: $\beta_{j} \neq b_{j}$ unless ...
working model: $Y=X^{(j)} b_{j}+e$
- Fact 2: LSEs $\hat{\beta}_{j}=\hat{b}_{j}$ if $p<n$ AND
$Y=Z^{(j)} b_{j}+e, Z^{(j)}$ is a residual vector of regressing $X^{(j)}$ on all other $X^{(k)}$ 's with $k \neq j$.
Why? $Z^{(j)} \perp X^{(k)}$
$\hat{b}_{j}=\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} Y /\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} Z^{(j)}=\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} Y /\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} X^{(j)}$.
$E\left(\hat{b}_{j}\right)=\beta_{j}+\sum_{k \neq k} P_{j k} \beta_{k}, P_{j k}=\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} X^{(k)} /\left(Z^{(j)}\right)^{\prime} X^{(j)}$.
$P_{j k}=0$.
- For $p>n$, use Lasso to get $Z^{(j)}$, then $P_{j k} \neq 0$.
$\hat{\beta}_{C, j}=\hat{b}_{j}-\sum_{k \neq k} P_{j k} \hat{\beta}_{k}$,
$\hat{\beta}$ : Lasso estimates.
$\hat{\beta}_{C, j} \sim N\left(0, v_{j}\right)$.


## Inference

- TLP/SCAD: if interested in $\beta_{j}$ (that can be high-d for TLP), 1. use the whole sample to fit a penalized reg model by penalizing all parameters except $\beta_{j}$; 2. apply the usual Wald or LRT to get the p -value or Cl for $\beta_{j}$.
Refs: Zhu, Shen \& Pan (2020, JASA); Shi et al (2019, AoS).
- Model-X Knockoffs: FDR control for VS. R package: knockoff. https://web.stanford.edu/group/candes/knockoffs/ index.html
- Conformal inference: can give prediction intervals; ... R package: https://github.com/ryantibs/conformal


## Sure Independence Screening (SIS)

- Q: penalized (or stepwise ...) regression can do automatic VS; just do it?
- Key: there is a cost/limit in performance/speed/theory.
- Q2: some methods (e.g. LDA/QDA/RDA) do not have VS, then what?
- Going back to basics: first conduct VS in marginal analysis, 1) $Y \sim X_{1}, Y \sim X_{2}, \ldots, Y \sim X_{p}$;

2) choose a few top ones, say $p_{1}$;
$p_{1}$ can be chosen somewhat arbitrarily, or treated as a tuning parameter
3) then apply penalized reg (or other VS ) to the selected $p_{1}$ variables.

- Called SIS with theory (Fan \& Lv, 2008, JRSS-B).

R package SIS; iterative SIS (ISIS); why? a limitation of SIS ...

## Using Derived Input Directions

- PCR: PCA on $X$, then use the first few PCs as predictors. Use a few top PCs explaining a majority (e.g. $85 \%$ or $95 \%$ ) of total variance;
\# of components: a tuning parameter; use (genuine) CV; Used in genetic association studies, even for $p<n$ to improve power.
+: simple;
-: PCs may not be related to $Y$.
- Partial least squares (PLS): multiple versions; see Alg 3.3. Main idea:

1) regress $Y$ on each $X_{j}$ univariately to obtain coef est $\phi_{1 j}$;
2) first component is $Z_{1}=\sum_{j} \phi_{1 j} X_{j}$;
3) regress $X_{j}$ on $Z_{1}$ and use the residuals as new $X_{j}$;
4) repeat the above process to obtain $Z_{2}, \ldots$;
5) Regress $Y$ on $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$

- Choice of \# components: tuning data or CV (or AIC/BIC?)
- Contrast PCR and PLS:

PCA: $\max _{\alpha} \operatorname{Var}(X \alpha)$ s.t. ....;
PLS: $\max _{\alpha} \operatorname{Cov}(Y, X \alpha)$ s.t. ...;
Continuum regression (Stone \& Brooks 1990, JRSS-B)

- Penalized PCA (...) and Penalized PLS (Huang et al 2004, BI; Chun \& Keles 2012, JRSS-B; R packages ppls, spls).
- Example code: ex3.2.r


FIGURE 3.7. Estimated prediction error curves and
their standard errors for the various selection and

